

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 12/26/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2457	
10/035,998			Joseph Honein	IM 1725 CIP		
7590 09/02/2004				EXAMINER		
Kenneth H. Jo			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C			
P.O. Box 63070 Houston, TX	_			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3634	3634	
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	10/035,9		HONEIN, JOSEPH					
	Jince Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit					
71	MAN INO DATE - CAL'-	Alvin C. C		3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>25 May 2004</u> .							
2a)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)☐ Sind									
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Clai	im(s) <u>1-13 and 16</u> is/are pending	in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∐ Clai	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Cla	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16</u> is/are rejected.								
7)∐ Clai	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)☐ Cla	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application F	Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1	.			on No					
2	- · · · · ·				Store				
3	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	· · · · · · ·		ed in this National	Stage				
* See t	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* **	ed.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)	Deference Oile 1 (DTO 000)		A) [] -ti	(DTO 442)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Information	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I		5) D Notice of Informal P)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/035,998

Art Unit: 3634

The finality of the rejection dated 8.28.02 has been withdrawn in view of the newly discovered Japanese pat. '002 to Anglehart showing alternating wood grains.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 6, the limitation "said two outer boards" lacks antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anguera '191. To use wood for the boards of Anguera having the claimed modulus of elasticity and fiber bending strength, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient, by the selection from readily available resources depending on the bending strength and stiffness desired.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,998

Art Unit: 3634

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denmark pat. '807 to Larsen. To use wood for the boards of Larsen having the claimed modulus of elasticity and fiber bending strength, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient, by the selection from readily available resources depending on the bending strength and stiffness desired.

Claims 1-5,7-12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsen in view of Anguera '191. Larsen shows the claimed composite planks with the exception of the at least three spaced helical pins. Anguera teaches the use of at least three square cross-section helical pins for pinning composite boards. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Larsen or with at least three spaced helical pins, as taught by Anguera, for compressively pinning his boards together. To use wood for the boards of Larsen having the claimed modulus of elasticity and fiber bending strength, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient, by the selection from readily available resources depending on the bending strength and stiffness desired, furthermore, to make his plank and boards of the claimed dimensions, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient depending on the size of the plank desired.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,998 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsen and Anguera as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Bouton. Bouton shows the use of three wooden boards to form a scaffold plank. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Larsen for his plank to be made up of three boards, as taught by Bouton, in lieu of the four shown, to enable the use of fewer number of elements to form his plank. Furthermore, to make his plank and boards of the claimed dimensions, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient depending on the size of the plank desired.

Claim 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsen, Anguera and Bouton as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Japanese pat. '022 to Anglehart. Anglehart teaches the method of alternating the wood grains of side-by-side boards to enable a high resistance to warpage. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Larsen for his boards to be arranged with alternating wood grains, as taught by Anglehart, to resist warpage of his plank.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is Application/Control Number: 10/035,998

Art Unit: 3634

703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00

a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 3634

ACS